

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,462	EMANUEL HENDRICKS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Victor Batson	3671	

All Participants:

(1) Victor Batson.

(2) Joseph M. Lafata.

Status of Application: amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2005

Time: 11:30 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

5, 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Tvetene et al. (6,783,318)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attorney agreed to amend claim 5 to include a concave stripper (as amended), to more clearly define over the prior art of record and pass the case to issue. Additionally, an amendment to the specification and drawings changing the reference number 100 (that referred to the pivot shaft), to 101 was agreed to.